

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/763,770	01/23/2004	Andrew Halliday	67633	7531	
48940 759	90 03/02/2006		EXAM	INER	
FITCH EVEN	TABIN & FLANNER	Y	ALEXANDER, REGINALD		
120 S. LASALL	LE STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1600			ARTONIT	TALERNOMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			1761		

DATE MAILED: 03/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/763,770	HALLIDAY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Reginald L. Alexander	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addre	ss		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this committee (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	s action is non-final. Ince except for formal matters, pro		erits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under I	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 28 June 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1	• •		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		2)		

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bentley et al.

There is disclosed in Bentley a cartridge containing beverage ingredients, the cartridge comprising an inlet 26, an outlet 37, a storage chamber 21 having an inlet 28, a circumferential manifold 27; a lid 25, a filter 36, passages formed between ribs 38 and being located between the filter and a top of the cartridge, an outer member 20, and an inner member 37, 40.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bentley et al. in view of Rodth

Rodth discloses a disc-shaped beverage cartridge. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to construct the cartridge of Bentley in a disc shape as taught by

Art Unit: 1761

Rodth, in order to accommodate a different shape of a beverage device brewing chamber.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bentley in view of Rodth as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of EPO 0449533.

The European document discloses four openings 13 within a wall of the cartridge. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the cartridge of Bentley, as modified by Rodth, with that disclosed in EPO 0449533 and provide four liquid openings in the peripheral wall of the circumferential manifold, in order to slow the brewing time.

Claims 13-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bentley in view of Rodth as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of EPO 0455337.

The European document discloses forty openings 13 within the wall of the cartridge. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to modify the cartridge of Bentley, as modified by Rodth, with that disclosed in EPO 0455337 and provide forty liquid openings in the peripheral wall of the circumferential manifold, in order to increase the brewing time.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patent to Baecchi et al. is cited for its disclosure of the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rla February 28, 2006 Reginald L. Alexander Primary Examiner Art Unit 1761

Page 4